Rational Design, Synthesis & Evaluation of Small Molecule Modulators of the Wnt/β-catenin Signalling Pathway

<u>Michael A. McCoy,<sup>a</sup> Marc Fiedler,<sup>b</sup> Mariann Bienz,<sup>b</sup> Neil. J. Wells,<sup>a</sup> Matthias G. J. Baud<sup>a\*</sup></u>

mam1u17@soton.ac.uk, m.baud@soton.ac.uk

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup>MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge CB2 0QH, United Kingdom



### **1** - Introduction

• Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is fundamental in cell differentiation, cell fate determination and tissue homeostasis amongst metazoans<sup>1</sup>

Southampton

- Mutations in this pathway are **implicated** in various diseases including osteoporosis & cancer
- In particular, aberrant signaling in this pathway is linked to 85% of CRC cases

- **2** Biophysical Evaluation of Reported Inhibitors
- There are multiple compounds in the literature which have been described to inhibit wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling *via* direct targeting of  $\beta$ -catenin
- Whilst some compounds have been described with good biophysical validation, others have been identified from phenotypic screening assays/fluorescence based assays which can be prone to false positives
- Several inhibitors described contain PAINs motifs<sup>2</sup>
- One of our research objectives is to synthesis described inhibitors and validate (or not) their direct interaction with  $\beta$ -catenin *via* biophysical assays (DSF, STD/wLOGSY NMR, ITC)



Scheme 1 – synthesis of toxoflavin, 3 and subsequent ITC trace<sup>3</sup>

- For the treatment of cancer, the signal transducer **β-catenin is a key,** but challenging **target**
- We are targeting  $\beta$ -catenin via several orthogonal approaches including probing natural product destabilisers, fragment based drug discovery and *in silico* screening

Re-screen

Validate

~20

SAR

Optimise

# **3** – High Throughput Fragment Screening



- Primary screening affords a subset (10<sup>2</sup> compounds) which are re-screened and validated using alternate screening methods (STD NMR)
- Validated compounds ca. 20 are investigated using ITC
- Next generation compounds are generated *via* SAR studies as well as fragment linking/merging/growing





### **4 - Natural Product Derivatives**

- Carnosic acid, **13**, has been shown to be a potent degrader of βcatenin<sup>5</sup>
- The mode of action of **13** is still uncertain, and its propensity for oxidation raises some questions – namely whether **13** is responsible for the biological action observed



- stable to oxidation



Figure 2 – ARD repeats of  $\beta$ -catenin with PPI surface, PTM residues and PPI hotspots

• Various functional group manipulations are envisaged to oxidation resistant afford more derivatives



Figure 3 – (left) HTS workflow; (right, top) STD-NMR of fragment; (right, bottom) ITC of fragment



## **5 - Computational Screening**

- The virtual screening workflow in glide was used to screen an in-house virtual library of 10<sup>6</sup> compounds
- Removal of reactive motifs, filtering according to Lipinski's rules and sequential higher precision docking afforded *ca*. 2k compounds for visual inspection
- MMGBSA, re-screening against different PDBs, and predicted physicochemical properties were utilised to afford a shortlist of compounds to evaluate in vitro



#### 6 - Future Work

**Section 2** - Finish biophysical evaluation of reported inhibitors (manuscript in progress)

**Section 3** - Finish fragment merging approach & use HTS workflow to investigate a separate compound library

**Section 4** – Finish synthesis of envisaged oxidation resistant derivatives (manuscript in progress)

**Section 5** – Re-screen top HTVS compounds using DSF, STD, ITC  $\rightarrow$  follow up with biological study

1. A. Klaus and W. Birchmeier, Nature Reviews Cancer 2008, 8, 387-398 2. J. B. Baell and G. A. Holloway, Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 2010, 53, 2719-2740 3. M. Lepourcelet, Y.-N. P. Chen, D. S. France, H. Wang, P. Crews, F. Petersen, C. Bruseo, A. W. Wood and R. A. Shivdasani, *Cancer Cell* 2004, 5, 91-102. 4. Ewan et al., Cancer Research, **2010**, 70, 5963-5973 5. M. de la Roche, T. J. Rutherford, D. Gupta, D. B. Veprintsev, B. Saxty, S. M. Freund and M. Bienz, Nature communications 2012, 3, 680-680.

#### 7 - References & Acknowledgements

**Rosetrees Trust** 

Supporting the best in medical research

